

<b>Example 5: How Software Risk Master (SRM) Evaluates Software Methodologies</b>					
	<b>Java Language for all 3 Cases</b>				
	<b>1000 function points for all 3 Cases</b>				
	<b>\$7.500 per month for all 3 Cases</b>				
	<b>SRM can evaluate 60 methodologies including hybrid in 2017</b>				
	<b>New methodologies added when data becomes available</b>				
	<b>SRM also evaluates 5 levels of capability maturity model integrated (CMMI)</b>				
	<b>2017 is the 30th anniversary of IFPUG function point metrics</b>				
		<b>Waterfall</b>	<b>Agile</b>	<b>TSP/PSP</b>	<b>TSP = team software process</b>
		<b>CMMI 1</b>	<b>CMMI 0</b>	<b>CMMI 5</b>	<b>PSP = personal software process</b>
<b>Project Risks</b>					
					<b>Risks vary among methodologies</b>
<b>Cancellation</b>		<b>19.98%</b>	<b>14.19%</b>	<b>11.59%</b>	
<b>Negative ROI</b>		<b>25.31%</b>	<b>18.00%</b>	<b>14.68%</b>	
<b>Cost overrun</b>		<b>21.98%</b>	<b>15.90%</b>	<b>12.75%</b>	
<b>Schedule slip</b>		<b>26.64%</b>	<b>19.30%</b>	<b>15.45%</b>	
<b>Unhappy customers</b>		<b>15.98%</b>	<b>12.30%</b>	<b>9.27%</b>	
<b>Litigation</b>		<b>8.79%</b>	<b>6.26%</b>	<b>5.10%</b>	
<b>Technical debt/high COQ</b>		<b>22.46%</b>	<b>16.00%</b>	<b>13.03%</b>	
<b>Cyber attacks</b>		<b>13.69%</b>	<b>9.75%</b>	<b>7.94%</b>	
<b>Financial Risk</b>		<b>29.48%</b>	<b>21.00%</b>	<b>17.10%</b>	
<b>High warranty repairs</b>		<b>20.71%</b>	<b>14.75%</b>	<b>12.01%</b>	

<b>Poor maintainability</b>		<b>15.44%</b>	<b>11.00%</b>	<b>8.96%</b>	
<b>RISK AVERAGE</b>		<b>20.04%</b>	<b>14.40%</b>	<b>11.63%</b>	<b>Quality strong methodologies have lower risks</b>
<b>Total Defects in Application</b>		<b>6,000</b>	<b>4,800</b>	<b>2,700</b>	<b>Agile, waterfall are not "quality strong" methodologies</b>
					<b>TSP/PSP are "quality strong" methodologies</b>
<b>Pre-Test Defect Removal %</b>		<b>45.00%</b>	<b>69.75%</b>	<b>81.00%</b>	
Defects Removed		2,700	3,348	2,187	
Defects Remaining		<b>3,300</b>	<b>1,452</b>	<b>513</b>	
Joint Application Design (JAD)		No	Yes	Maybe	
Scrum sessions		No	Yes	Maybe	
Informal reviews		Yes	Yes	No	
Quality function deployment (QFD)		No	No	Yes	
Six Sigma for software		No	No	Maybe	
Requirements inspection		No	No	Yes	<b>DRE goes up with inspections</b>
Design inspection		No	No	Yes	
Code inspection		No	No	Yes	
Test material inspection		No	Maybe	Yes	
Static analysis		No	Maybe	Yes	<b>DRE goes up with static analysis</b>

<b>Test Defect Removal %</b>		<b>70.00%</b>	<b>81.90%</b>	<b>87.50%</b>		
Defects Removed		2,310	1,189	449		
<b>Defects Remaining</b>		<b>990</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>64</b>		
Unit test		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Function test		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Regression test		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Performance test		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Component test		No	No	Yes		
System test		Yes	Yes	Yes		
Acceptance/Beta test		Yes	Yes	Yes		
<b>Bad fix injection %</b>		<b>9%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>Bad-fix injection is low with quality-strong methodologies</b>	
<b>Bad fixes (new bugs in repairs)</b>		<b>89</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>3</b>		
<b>Defects detected but not repaired</b>						
<b>prior to delivery to customers</b>		<b>289</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>Unrepaired defects are low with quality-strong methodologies</b>	
<b>Cumulative Defect Removal %</b>		<b>78.69%</b>	<b>92.30%</b>	<b>96.79%</b>	<b>All projects should top 96% defect removal efficiency (DRE)</b>	
					<b>DRE developed by IBM circa 1973</b>	
<b>Total Defects Removed</b>		<b>4,721</b>	<b>4,430</b>	<b>2,613</b>		

<b>Total Defects Delivered</b>		<b>1,079</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>67</b>	
<b>High-Severity Defects Delivered</b>		<b>270</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>11</b>	
<b>Security Flaws Delivered</b>		<b>36</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	
Average monthly cost		\$7,500	\$7,500	\$7,500	
<b>OVERALL PROJECT</b>					
Development Schedule (months)		15.85	11.82	12.02	
Staff (technical + management)		10	7	7	
Development Effort (staff months)		158	84	86	
Development Costs		\$1,188,670	\$633,043	\$644,070	
<b>DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES</b>					
Requirements Effort (staff months)		15.85	7.17	8.59	
Design effort (staff months)		31.70	13.50	11.16	
Coding effort (staff months)		31.70	21.95	20.61	
Testing effort (staff months)		45.96	25.32	27.48	
Documentation effort (staff month)		12.68	6.75	6.87	
Management effort (staff months)		19.81	9.28	11.16	
<b>TOTAL EFFORT (Staff months)</b>		<b>157.70</b>	<b>83.98</b>	<b>85.88</b>	

Function points per mont		<b>6.34</b>	<b>11.91</b>	<b>11.64</b>		
<b>Total Cost of Development</b>		<b>\$1,188,670</b>	<b>\$633,043</b>	<b>\$644,070</b>		
<b>Total Cost of Maintenance</b>		<b>\$2,315,681</b>	<b>\$600,688</b>	<b>\$144,284</b>	<b>Mainteance is cheaper with quality-strong methodologies</b>	
<b>Total Cost of Enhancement</b>		<b>\$439,808</b>	<b>\$234,226</b>	<b>\$238,306</b>		
<b>TOTAL COST OF OWNERSHIP (TCO)</b>		<b>\$3,944,159</b>	<b>\$1,467,957</b>	<b>\$1,026,660</b>	<b>TCO is cheaper with quality-strong methodologies</b>	
			END OF EXAMPLE			
<b>Table 1: Methodologies Supported by Software Risk Master (SRM)</b>						
	<b>Best quality (Quality-strong)</b>					
1	Robotic development with 99% standard parts					
2	Reuse-oriented (85% reusable materials)					
3	Animated, 3D, full color design development					
4	Pattern-based development					
5	Virtual reality global development					
6	T-VEC development					
7	IntegraNova development					
8	Kaizen development					
9	Container development (65% reuse)					

10	Model-driven development			
	<b>Good Quality (Quality strong)</b>			
11	Clean room development			
12	Team software process (TSP) + PSP			
13	Feature driven (FDD)			
14	Personal software process (PSP)			
15	Specifications by Example			
16	CMMI development			
17	Micro service development			
18	Evolutionary Development (EVO)			
19	Rational Unified Process (RUP) from IBM			
20	Prototypes - disposable			
21	Open-source development			
22	Object Oriented (OO) development			
23	Global 24 hour development			
24	Disciplined agile delivery (DAD)			
25	Product Line engineering			
26	Service-Oriented modeling			
27	Mashup development			
	<b>Average quality</b>			
28	Prototypes - evolutionary			
28	Information engineering (IE)			
29	Crystal development			
30	Extreme programming (XP)			
31	Pair programming development			
32	Lean development			
33	Microsoft solutions			
34	Spiral development			
35	GIT development			
36	Legacy renovation			
37	Legacy replacement development			
38	Iterative development			
39	Test-driven development (TDD)			
40	CASE development			

41	Hybrid (agile + waterfall)			
42	Agile + scrum			
43	Legacy repair development			
44	Structured development			
45	Continuous development			
46	Dynamic system development method (DSDM)			
	<b>Poor quality</b>			
47	DevOps development			
48	Legacy data mining			
49	Prince 2 development			
50	Merise development			
51	Agile/Scrum			
52	Rapid application development (RAD)			
53	Reverse engineering			
54	V-Model development			
55	Reengineering			
56	Cowboy development			
57	ERP modification development			
58	Waterfall development			
59	COTS Modifications			
60	Anti patterns			